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## Summary

Treatment of endo- (I) and exo-dicyclopentadiene (II) with thallium(III) acetate in methanol, chloroform or dichloromethane affords a regioisomeric mixture of the cis-exo-acetoxythallation adducts. The isomer ratios in the adducts were determined directly by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the crude products. Acetoxymercuration of the same dienes also affords the corresponding regioisomeric cis-exo adducts, the selectivity being lower compared with that of acetoxythallation. Acetoxythallation and acetoxymercuration of bicyclo-[3.2.1]octa-2,6-diene (XIII) in dichloromethane gives single cis-exo adducts, respectively.

## Introduction

It has been reported recently that acetoxythallation of substituted benzonorbornadienes affords a regioisomeric mixture of the cis-exo adducts (eq. 1), the isomer ratio being reversed according to the electron-withdrawing or elec-tron-releasing nature of substituent $X$ [1], caused by polarization in the $C(2)$ $C(3)$ double bond induced by the homoallylic interaction between $C(5)$ and C(3).


Since it seemed of interest to investigate whether other types of polarization
effects might affect the isomer ratio of the products in similar reactions of some cyclic dienes, we carried out a study of the product ratios of acetoxythallation of endo- (I) and exo-dicyclopentadiene (II) and bicyclo[3.2.1]octa-2,6diene (XIII). This paper describes the results, comparing them with those of oxymercuration.

## Results and discussion

The reactions of I and II with thallium(III) acetate in methanol gave the acetoxythallation adducts in more than $80 \%$ yield, no methoxythallation products being formed as had been found in the cases of other norbornene derivatives [1]. The adducts were regioisomeric mixtures in each case; III and IV from I; and V and VI from II, respectively (eq. 2 and 3). Isomer ratios of III/IV and V/VI in the crude products were found to be about $3 / 1$ and $4 / 5$, respectively, by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy by comparison of the peak heights of acetoxy group substituted carbon signals in each isomer *. The reaction proceeded in chloroform or dichloromethane as well to afford the same adducts in $80-90 \%$ yield, the isomer ratios of III/IV and V/VI being ca. $5 / 1$ and $4 / 5$, respectively, in this case. The results show that the reactivity difference in the attack of the thallium moiety on $C(2)$ and $C(3)$ is larger in the case of the endo-isomer than for the exo-isomer, and that a cationic thallium moiety attacks $C(3)$ in preference to $C(2)$ in $I$.


(DI)

Oxymercuration of both dicyclopentadienes in dichloromethane at room temperature proceeded more smoothly than acetoxythallation and afforded the adducts VII and VIII from I, and IX and X from II, respectively (eq. 4 and 5).
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The isomer ratios of VII/VIII and IX/X in the crude products were determined to be about $2 / 1$ and $2 / 3$, respectively, by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy by comparison of peak heights of the signals due to the acetoxy-substituted carbon atoms in the former case and to those of the acetoxymercuri-substituted carbon atoms in the latter case *.

Although methoxy- or hydroxy-mercuration of these dienes has been reported to give similar adducts [3,4], regioselectivity is clear in only one case [5] in which hydroxymercuration of I followed by alkaline sodium borohydride reduction afforded a regicisomeric mixture of alcohols, XI and XII (XI/ XII ca. $7 / 3$ ). Our results, together with the reported results [5] on oxymercuration of I, shows that an electrophilic mercury moiety also attacks preferentially at $\mathrm{C}(3)$ (rather than $\mathrm{C}(2)$ of I . The fact that the isomer ratio of III/IV is larger
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than that of VII/VIII shows that oxythallation is slightly more selective than oxymercuration [6]. The attempt to determine the isomer ratio of III and IV by reduction with sodium borohydride in alkaline medium was unsuccessful because of the low yield of XI and XII. The main product was I as has been observed in several other oxythallation adducts [1,7] (see Experimental).

The acetoxythallation and acetoxymercuration of bicyclo[3.2.1 ]octa-2,6diene is a more clear-cut example of the regiospecific oxymetallation of bicyclic dienes. When XIII was treated with thallium(III) acetate in dichloromethane at room temperature for $0.5-1 \mathrm{~h}$, the acetoxythallation adduct XIV

[^1]TABLE 1
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ CHEMICAL SHIFTS ${ }^{a}$ AND ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-203,{ }^{205} \mathrm{TI}$ AND ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{199} \mathrm{H}$ C COUPLING CONSTANTS ${ }^{b}$ OF III $\sim \mathrm{X}^{c}$

(

| Compound | C(1) | C(2) | C(3) | C(4) | C(5) | C(6) | C(7) | C(8) | C(9) | C(10) | C(1) | C(2') | C(3') | C(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| III | 45.0 | 70.6 | 77.0 | 50.5 | 45.9 | 41.8 | 37.8 | 131,6 | 131.0 | 32.2 | 169.0 | 22.0 | 179.1 | 22.6 |
|  | (311) | (5812) | (681) | (83) | (25) | (1124) | (12) | (0) | (34) | (37) | (58) | (33) |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{d}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | $d$ | $d$ | d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV | d |  | 74.3 |  |  |  | 38.8 | 130.0 | 131.8 | 31.5 | 169.0 | 22.0 | 179.1 | 22.6 |
|  |  |  | (681) |  |  |  | (12) | (37) | (43) | (0) | (58) | (33) |  |  |
| Vililil Hg ) $]$ | 44.6 | 49.1 | 78.0 | $\begin{gathered} 50.5 \\ (16) \end{gathered}$ | 45.8 | 42.3 | 38.7 | $131.7{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $131.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 32.5 | 169.8 | 21.6 | 177.0 | 23.4 |
|  | (53) | (1732) | (141) |  | (0) | (212) |  |  |  |  |  | (19) |  |  |
| VIIH[IV(Hg)] | 43.2 | 53.2 | 75.7 |  | 47.3 | 39.6 | 39.9 | $131.0{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $131.7{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 31.1 | 169.8 | 21.6 | 177.0 | 23.4 |
|  |  | (1720) |  |  | (0) |  |  |  |  |  | $r$ | (19) |  |  |
| v | $\begin{gathered} 46.0 \\ (304) \end{gathered}$ | 75.8 | 78.3 | 50.0 | 47.0 | 44.1 | 38.1 | 130.3 | 132.8 | 29.2 | 169.3 | 20.6 | 179.1 | 22.5 |
|  |  | (5774) | (676) | ${ }_{\text {( }}(98)$ | ${ }_{d}^{(40)}$ | ${ }_{d}^{(1275)}$ | ${ }^{(30)}$ | (<3) | (15) | (32) | (58) | (75) |  |  |
| vi |  | 76.7 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  | 130.6 | 133.4 | 29.9 | 169.3 | 20.6 | 179.1 | 22.5 |
|  |  | (5770) | (674) |  |  |  |  | (288) | (44) | (0) | (58) | (75) |  |  |
| IX [V(He) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.3 \\ (48) \end{gathered}$ | 55.9 | 80.0 | 50.3 | 38.7 | 44.7 | 38.9 | 130.5 | 132.5 | 29.9 | 170.0 | 21.2 | 177.0 | 23.4 |
|  |  | (1733) | (141) |  |  | (291) |  | (0) | (0) |  |  | (18) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{VI}(\mathrm{Hg})$ ] | $f^{46.8}$ | 56.7 | 79.4 | 49.2 | 38.9 | 44.0 | 38.2 | 131.2 | 132.8 | 29.9 | 170.0 | 21.2 | 177.0 | 23.4 |
|  |  | (1705) | (143) |  |  | d |  | (30) | (0) |  |  | (18) |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Given in ppm downfield from internal Meq $\mathrm{Si}^{6} J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-205 \mathrm{TI}\right.$ ) and $J\left({ }^{13}{ }^{3} \mathrm{C}-199 \mathrm{Hg}\right.$ ) in parentheses ( Hz ); $J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-203 \mathrm{Tl}\right.$ ) in brackets ( Hz ). ${ }^{c}$ Determined in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as solvent. ${ }^{d}$ Not identified. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Maybe reversed. ${ }^{f}$ Not observed.
TABLE 2
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ CHEMICAL SHIFTS AND ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-203,205 \mathrm{TI}$ AND ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{199} \mathrm{Hg}$ COUPLING CONSTANTS OF XIV AND XV ${ }^{a}$


.
${ }^{8}$
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| Compound | Solvent | C(1) | C(2) | C(3) | C(4) | C(5) | C(6) | C(7) | C(8) | C(1) | C(2') | C(3) | C(4') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| xIV | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.9 \\ (340) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.1 \\ & (5846) \\ & {[5790]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.3 \\ (657) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.1 \\ (185) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129.3 \\ & (27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 126.2 \\ (66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.3 \\ (1077) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.2 \\ (46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169.3 \\ & (52) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ (69) \end{gathered}$ | 179.0 | 22.6 |
| XIV | DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.5 \\ (356) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71.6 \\ (6516) \\ {[6457]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80.3 \\ (654) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.8 \\ (156) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129.0 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126.5 \\ & (60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.0 \\ (1027) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.6 \\ & (51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168.8 \\ & (47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ (59) \end{gathered}$ | 175.8 | 22.6 |
| XIV | Py-d ${ }_{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.0 \\ (349) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.7 \\ (6467) \\ {[6406]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82,0 \\ (635) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.7 \\ (161) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129.6 \\ & (25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 126.8 \\ (59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36,9 \\ (979) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.9 \\ (58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169.4 \\ & (46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.2 \\ (56) \end{gathered}$ | 177.5 | 23.1 |
| xv | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.0 \\ (41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.1 \\ (1735) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.2 \\ (137) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41,0 \\ & (51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 129,4 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126.1 \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36,8 \\ (197) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.8 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169,9 \\ & (<3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \\ (16) \end{gathered}$ | 177.0 | 23.3 |

${ }^{a}$ Sec footnotes $a$ and $b$ in Table 1 .

TABLE 3
ACETOXY-THALLATION AND-MERCURATION OF I, II, AND XIII

| Olefin (mmol) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OAc})_{\mathrm{n}} \\ & (\mathrm{mmol}) \end{aligned}$ | Solvent $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ | Reaction <br> Tempera- <br> ture <br> ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Reaction time <br> (h) | Products (isomer ratio ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\%)^{b} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I <br> (20) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{OAAC}_{3}\right. \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | MeOF | 20 | 1 | III + IV (III/IV 3/1) | 94 |
| II <br> (10) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{OAC})_{3} \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | MeOH | 20 | 1 | V + VI (V/VI 4/5) | 80 |
| I | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tl}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3} \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | 20 | 1 | III + IV (III/IV 5/1) | 88 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \text { (10) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{OAC})_{3} \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 20 | 1 | V + VI (V/VI 4/5) | 83 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } 10 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 20 | 0.5 | VII + VIII (VII/VIII 2/I) | 72 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \text { (10) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 20 | 0.2 | $\mathrm{IX}+\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{IX} / \mathrm{X} 2 / 3)$ | 81 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { XIII } \\ & \text { (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tl}(\mathrm{OAC})_{3} \\ & \text { (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 25 | 1 | XIV | 84 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { XIII } \\ & \text { (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2} \\ & \text { (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 25 | 1 | XV | 83 |

${ }^{a}$ Determined approximately by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the crude products. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield: based on metal acetate charged.
was obtained exclusively in over $80 \%$ yield. None of its regioisomers which might have been formed by attack of the thallium moiety on $\mathrm{C}(7)$ of XIII was detected in the crude product by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy (eq. 6). Similarly, acetoxymercuration of XIII in dichloromethane afforded XV in good yield (eq. 7). The formation of this product has already been reported by Sakai [8] in acetoxymercuration of XIII in acetic acid. The regioisomer of XV was also not detecteri in the crude product. Reaction conditions, yields and isomer ratios of the proaucts of acetoxythallation and acetoxymercuration of $I$, II, and XIII are summarized in Table 3.
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A preferential attack of an electrophile such as a thallium or mercury moiety on $C(3)$ of $I$ and $C(6)$ of XIII means that the $\pi$ electron density at those carbons is higher than that at $C(2)$ of I and C(7) of XIII, respectively. Such polarization of the double bond under the attack of an electrophile may be attributed to the through-space homoconjugation with the $C(8)-C(9)$ double bond of I or the $C(2)-C(3)$ double bond of XIII. The formation of nearly equal amounts of V and VI or IX and X from II may be attributed to the absence of such polarization, as expected from the structure of II.

## Experimental

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solvent, TMS as internal standard) were recorded with a Varian EM-360 and a JEOL FX-100 spectrometer, and IR spectra (hexachlorobutadiene and paraffin mulls) with a Hitachi EPI-S2 spectrometer. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra (Table 1 and 2) were recorded in the pulsed Fourier transform mode on a JEOL PFT-100 and a JEOL FX-100 spectrometer operating at 25.15 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ or pyridine- $d_{5}[1,2]$. GLC analyses were carried out on a Shimadzu 5APTF apparatus using EGSS-X (15\%) on Chromosorb W (1 m) and PEG-6000 (25\%) on Chromosorb W ( 3 m ) columns ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ as carrier gas). II was prepared from commercial I by addition-elimination of hydrogen iodide [9]. XIII was prepared by the reaction of norbornadiene with dichlorocarbene [10] followed by reduction with lithium and t-butanol [11]. I and other organic and inorganic materials were commercial products and used without further purification.

## Acetoxythallation of $I$

Treatment of I ( $2.68 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with thallium(III) acetate ( $3.82 \mathrm{~g}, 10$ mmol ) in methanol ( 20 ml ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h afforded a clear homogeneous solution. Evaporation of solvent left a white solid which was washed with n-hexane to leave 4.84 g ( $94 \%$ yield) of a white solid, a mixture of III and IV (m.p. 140$144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the mixture showed that the isomer ratio of III/IV is about 3/1. Anal.: Found: $\mathrm{C}, 37.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.98 . \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Tl}$ calcd.: C , $37.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.12 \%$. A similar reaction in chloroform gave a mixture of III and IV ( $4.55 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ yield, III/IV $5 \sim 6 / 1$ ) which was recrystallized from chloroform/ n-hexane to afford almost pure III ( 2.7 g ); m.p. $158-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of III; $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.60(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 548 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(1)), 2.60(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 308 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(4)), 2.70$ $(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 740 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(6)), 3.67^{\circ}(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 876 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(2)), 4.84(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 536 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{H}(3))$. IR $1740(\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})), 1605\left(\nu_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right.$ ), $1525\left(\nu_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right.$ ), $1420\left(\nu_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right)$, $1370\left(\nu_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Alkaline sodium borohydride ( 2 mmol ) reduction of a mixture of III and IV ( 2 mmol ) in methanol ( $20 \mathrm{ml}, 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}$ ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h gave I ( $72 \%$ ) and alcohols ( $7 \%$; XI + XII). GLC analyses on several different columns could not resolve the two alcohols. Their GLC retention time was the same as that of the same alcohols prepared almost quantitatively by alkaline sodium borohydride reduction of a mixture of VII and VIII in methanol.

## Acetoxythallation of II

By a similar procedure as above, using thallium(III) acetate (1.91 g, 5 mmol ),

II ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and methanol ( 20 ml ), a white solid, a mixture of V and VI, was obtained ( 2.05 g ( $80 \%$ yield); m.p. $139-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.)). Anal.: Found: C, $37.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.09 \%$. From the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the mixture, which did not distinguish between V and VI, the following four protons were assigned: $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 2.37(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 240 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(4)), 2.67(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 644 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(1), 3.70$ $(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 768 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(2)), 4.97(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 616 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(3))$. The main absorptions in the IR spectrum were essentially the same as those of III. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed that the ratio of V/VI is about 4/5. The reaction also proceeded in chloroform or dichloromethane, the isomer ratio of the products being almost the same as that obtained in methanol.

## Acetoxymercuration of $I$ and $I I$

To a suspension of mercury (II) acetate ( $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ was added $\mathrm{I}(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting suspension was stirred for 0.5 h to give a clear homogeneous solution. n -Hexane ( 500 ml ) then was added and the solution was allowed to stand overnight at $0-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $1.61 \mathrm{~g}(3.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ yield) of a white solid, a mixture of VII and VIII; m.p. $136-138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal.: Found: C, 37.06; H, 3.97. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Hg}$ calcd.: $\mathrm{C}, 37.24 ; \mathrm{H}$, $4.02 \%$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed that the ratio of VII/VIII is about $2 / 1$.

A similar reaction of II on the same scale at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.2 h afforded 1.82 g ( $4.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ yield) of a white solid, a mixture of IX and X;m.p. 111$114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal.: Found: C, $37.04 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.95 \%$. The ratio of IX/X was about $2 / 3$ by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis. The strong absorptions in the IR spectra of these acetoxymercuration adducts were essentially the same as those of the acetoxythallation adducts.

Acetoxythallation and acetoxymercuration of XIII in dichloromethane
A mixture of XIII ( $0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and thallium (III) acetate ( $1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 3$ mmol ) in dichloromethane ( 20 ml ) was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to give a white solid. To this reaction mixture dichloromethane ( 100 ml ) was added to dissolve the solid. After filtration of a small amount of insoluble solid, dichloromethane was evaporated from the filtrate to leave an oil to which was added n-hexane to afford almost pure solid XIV; 1.23 g ( $2.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ) : m.p. $177-179^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). Anal.: Found: C, 34.31; H, 3.84. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Tl}$ calcd.: $\mathrm{C}, 34.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.93 \%$. No appreciable amounts of organic products, except a small amount of XIII, were detected in the n-hexane washings (by GLC). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of XIV; $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ $1.08-1.50$ and $1.70-2.00(H(8)), 2.01$ (acetate), 2.04 (acetoxy), 2.38 (endo$\mathrm{H}(7)), 2.43(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 424 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(1)), 2.66(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 284 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(4)), 3.01$ $(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}): 176 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exo-H(7)), $3.78(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 948 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(2)), 5.28(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H})$ $464 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 5.53(J(\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{H}) 56 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(6)), 5.76(\mathrm{H}(5))$ (by JEOL FX-100, $100 \mathrm{MHz})$. IR $1740(\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})), 1630(\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})), 1610\left(\nu_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right), 1520\left(\nu_{\mathrm{as}}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right), 1420\left(\nu_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right), 1375\left(\nu_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Similar treatment of XIII with mercury(II) acetate ( 3 mmol each) afforded XV, a white solid; $1.07 \mathrm{~g}\left(2.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%\right.$ ) : m.p. $123-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. [8], m.p. 124-126 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Anal.: Found: $\mathrm{C}, 33.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.74 . \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Hg}$ calcd.: $\mathrm{C}, 33.93$; $\mathrm{H}, 3.80 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of XV; $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 1.4-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}(8)), 2.0$ and 2.1 (acetate and acetoxy), 2.1-2.4 (m, 1 H ), 2.4-2.7 (m, 2 H), 2.7-2.9 (m, 1 H ), 2.9-3.1 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.7-6.0(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}(5)$ and $\mathrm{H}(6)), 5.15(J(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}) 7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(3))$. The IR spectrum
of this compound was slightly different from that of XIV; 1740 (sh), 1710 ( $\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ ), $1630\left(\nu(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})\right.$ ), $1600(\mathrm{sh}), 1575\left(\nu_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right), 1425\left(\nu_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right), 1370$ $\left(\nu_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. All spectra and the m.p. of authentic XV, which was prepared in acetic acid [8], were the same as those of XV obtained here.
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